# **Washington State Auditor's Office**

### **Audit Report**

### **Audit Services**

Report No. 57987

### KLICKITAT COUNTY, WASHINGTON

January 1, 1995 Through December 31, 1995

Issue Date: January 24, 1997

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Management Section	Page
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Laws And Regulations At The Financial Statement Level (Plus Additional State Compliance Requirements Per RCW 43.09.260) Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure At The Financial Statement Level Schedule Of Findings:  1. The County Should Improve Controls Over Public Works Materials Inventory 2. The County Sheriff's Office Should Follow County Policy On Overtime Payments 3. Klickitat County Should Prepare And Submit The Annual Report When Due	M-3 M-5 M-6
Financial Section	
Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements And Additional Information  General-Purpose Financial Statements: Combined Balance Sheet ) All Fund Types - 1995 Combined Statement Of Revenues, Expenditures, And Changes In Fund Balance ) All Governmental Fund Types - 1995 Combined Statement Of Revenues, Expenditures, And Changes In Fund Balances ) Budget To Actual - 1995 Combined Statement Of Revenues, Expenses, And Changes In Fund Equity ) All Proprietary Fund Types And Similar Trust Funds - 1995 Statement Of Cash Flows ) Equipment Rental And Revolving Fund - 1995 Notes To Financial Statements Additional Information: Additional Information Regarding Audits Of Special Purpose Districts Included In Trust And Agency Funds Schedule Of Long-Term Debt - 1995 Schedule Of State Financial Assistance - 1995	F-3 F-4 F-5 F-6 F-7 F-8
Single Audit Section	
Independent Auditor's Report On Supplementary Information Schedule Of Federal Financial Assistance Schedule Of Federal Financial Assistance - 1995 Notes To Schedule Of Federal Financial Assistance Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With The General Requirements Applicable To Federal Financial Assistance Programs Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Specific Requirements Applicable To Major Federal Financial Assistance Programs Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure Used In Administering Federal Financial Assistance Programs	S-2 S-3 S-4 S-6
Addendum	
Directory Of Officials	A-1

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Laws And Regulations At The Financial Statement Level (Plus Additional State Compliance Requirements Per RCW 43.09.260)

Board of Commissioners Klickitat County Goldendale, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements, as listed in the table of contents, of Klickitat County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 1996.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to Klickitat County is the responsibility of the county's management. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the county's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants.

We also performed additional tests of compliance with state laws and regulations as required by *Revised Code of Washington* (RCW) 43.09.260. This statute requires the State Auditor to inquire as to whether the county complied with the laws and the *Constitution of the State of Washington*, its own ordinances and orders, and the requirements of the State Auditor's Office. Our responsibility is to examine, on a test basis, evidence about the county's compliance with those requirements and to make a reasonable effort to identify any instances of misfeasance, malfeasance, or nonfeasance in office on the part of any public officer or employee and to report any such instance to the management of the county and to the Attorney General. However, the objective of our audit of the financial statements was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with these provisions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of material noncompliance that are required to be reported herein under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted instances of noncompliance immaterial to the financial statements which are identified in the Schedule of Findings accompanying this report.

This report is intended for the information of management and the board of commissioners and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag State Auditor

### Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure At The Financial Statement Level

Board of Commissioners Klickitat County Goldendale, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of Klickitat County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 1996.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

The management of the county is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the county, we obtained an understanding of the internal control structure. With respect to the internal control structure, we obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and whether they have been placed in operation, and we assessed control risk in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control structure. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

We noted certain matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control structure that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. The matters involving the internal

control structure and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions are included in the Schedule of Findings accompanying this report.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the specific internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Our consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, we believe none of the reportable conditions described in the Schedule of Findings is a material weakness.

This report is intended for the information of management and the board of commissioners and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag State Auditor

### Schedule Of Findings

1. The County Should Improve Controls Over Public Works Materials Inventory

Our review of the inventory control system for the Equipment Rental and Revolving Fund disclosed a significant write-off of various inventory items. A year-end physical inventory taken by county personnel disclosed shortages of fuel (gas and diesel) valued at \$17,053 and gravel valued at \$104,039. The cause for the shortages could not be identified due to the following internal control weaknesses:

- a. Responsibility has not been assigned for purposes of monitoring inventory use and for ensuring that inventory is used only for proper public purposes.
- b. Inventory on hand was not reconciled to the accounting records in a timely manner and accounting records were not updated timely to allow for a periodic comparison to physical measurements of fuel or gravel on hand.
- c. Periodic tank measurements were not taken at all fuel sites.
- d. Access to gravel inventory was not effectively limited. Physical barriers (fences/locking gates) did not exist at every site. Contractors and others had keys to locked gates, allowing public access without county oversight.

RCW 43.09.200 Division of Municipal Corporations - *Uniform System of Accounting* states in part:

... The accounts shall show the receipt, use and disposition of all public property, and the income, if any, derived therefrom; all sources of public income, and the amounts due and received from each source; all receipts, vouchers, and other documents kept or required to be kept, necessary to isolate and prove the validity of every transaction . . . .

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) in its *Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards*, AU Section 319, Appendix D, states in part:

Establishing and maintaining an internal control structure is an important management responsibility. In establishing specific internal control structure policies and procedures concerning an entity's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data that is consistent with management's assertions embodied in the financial statements, some of the specific objectives management may wish to consider include the following:

Transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization.

Transactions are recorded as necessary (1) to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or any other criteria applicable to such statements and (2) to maintain accountability of assets.

Access to assets is permitted only in accordance with management's authorization.

The recorded accountability for assets is compared with existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action is taken with respect to any differences.

Access to Assets: The objectives of safeguarding assets requires that access be limited to authorized personnel. In this context, access to assets includes both direct physical and indirect access through the preparation or processing of documents that authorize the use or disposition of assets. Access to assets is required in the normal operations of a business and, therefore, limiting access to authorized personnel is the maximum feasible constraint. The number and competence of personnel to whom access is authorized should be influenced by the nature of the assets and the related susceptibility to loss through errors and irregularities. Limitation of direct access to assets requires appropriate physical segregation and protective equipment or devices.

By failing to implement an adequate internal control system over inventory use and accountability, there is a potential for theft and other abuses to occur and go undetected.

County officials stated that low staffing levels contributed to the internal control weaknesses noted above.

<u>We recommend</u> that the county restrict access to inventory to only authorized employees and reconcile inventory use to accounting records on a periodic basis.

### 2. The County Sheriff's Office Should Follow County Policy On Overtime Payments

Our review of the Sheriff's payroll system disclosed that overtime was improperly calculated resulting in overpayments to employees.

According to the union contract, sheriff deputies are paid overtime (time and a half) for actual time worked in excess of forty-two hours based on a seven day work period. For corrections officers, the overtime threshold is actual time worked in excess of one hundred seventy-one hours based on a 28 day threshold. During 1994 and 1995, overtime was incorrectly based on the bi-monthly pay periods instead of the 7 day and 28 day thresholds. This resulted in both over and underpayment errors involving employee overtime. We also noted cases where leave time taken was improperly included as "time worked" when computing earned overtime.

We tested payroll records for the last quarter of 1995 for three deputies and two corrections officers. The net overpayment attributed to the incorrect calculation of overtime was:

 Deputies
 \$303.72

 Corrections Officers
 93.68

 Total
 \$397.40

The current payroll supervisor began her duties in early 1995. She stated that her methods were based on instructions given to her as part of her training by the prior supervisor.

<u>We recommend</u> that the sheriff's office takes steps to ensure that all overtime is properly calculated.

#### 3. Klickitat County Should Prepare And Submit The Annual Report When Due

The Klickitat County Annual Financial Report for 1995 was not prepared and submitted to the Office of State Auditor until July 25, 1996, or 57 days after the May 29, 1996, due date.

RCW 43.09.230 and the *Budgeting, Accounting and Reporting System* (BARS) manual, as promulgated by the Office of State Auditor, Volume 1, Part 4, Chapter 1, page 7, requires the county to submit an annual report within one hundred fifty days after the close of each fiscal year.

When financial reports are not prepared and filed as required, the users of these reports are denied timely access to the county's financial information. Such users include:

- a. The taxpayers within the county.
- b. The state legislature, which receives a copy of the annual volume of comparative statistics for all municipalities, published pursuant to RCW 43.09.230.

The county was delayed in the completion of their financial reports due to a combination of the implementation of their new computer accounting software, and delays in completing year-end closing entries.

We recommend that the county submit the annual report by the due date.

### Auditee's Response

The county was given seven days to respond and no response was received.

#### **Auditor's Concluding Remarks**

We will review the county's corrective action during our next audit.

### Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements And Additional Information

Board of Commissioners Klickitat County Goldendale, Washington

We have audited the accompanying general-purpose financial statements of Klickitat County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the county's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Klickitat County Board Resolution No. 11095 created the Klickitat County Public Economic Development Authority (KCPEDA) in July 1995. KCPEDA qualifies as a component unit of Klickitat County under the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 14. As such, generally accepted accounting principles calls for discrete presentation in the county's financial statements. Klickitat County has not made such disclosure for this audit period.

As stated in Note 1 in the Notes to Financial Statements, the county does not use a general fixed asset account group to account for fixed assets purchased by their governmental funds. This is a departure from generally accepted accounting principles. As also stated in Note 1, the county does not use a general long-term debt account group. The county records its general long-term debt in accordance with the State Auditor's *Budgeting, Accounting and Reporting Systems* (BARS) manual's Schedule of Long-Term Debt (Schedule 09). This prescribed basis of accounting is a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, except for the effects of items as discussed in the preceding paragraphs, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Klickitat County at December 31, 1995, and the results of its operations and cash flows of its proprietary fund types and nonexpendable trust funds for the fiscal year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Long-Term Debt and Schedule of State Financial Assistance

listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The special purpose districts listed in the supporting schedule are audited as a part of the trust and agency funds of Klickitat County.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated December 16, 1996, on our consideration of the county's internal control structure and a report dated December 16, 1996, on its compliance with laws and regulations.

Brian Sonntag State Auditor

### Additional Information Regarding Audits Of Special Purpose Districts Included In Trust And Agency Funds

The State Auditor's Office has established audit policies for special purpose districts associated with the county's financial statements. These audit policies are designed to reflect low audit risk assessment, minimize audit costs, and apply auditing procedures that are appropriate to the district's size and other factors. For the purpose of these policies, special purpose districts are defined as most separate municipal corporations which use the county auditor and treasurer as ex-officio officers. Special purpose districts include:

Unclassified Public Utility Districts **Public Hospital Districts Unclassified Port Districts Cemetery Districts** Ferry Districts (except county ferries) Fire Protection Districts Park and Recreation Districts Mosquito Control Districts Weed Control Districts **Animal Pest Control Districts** Ambulance and First Aid Districts **Irrigation Districts** TV Reception District **Diking Districts** Flood Control Districts **Drainage Districts** Diking and Drainage Districts Water Districts Sewer Districts Water/Sewer Districts

These policies DO NOT apply to school districts, airport districts, road improvement districts, county road and bridge service districts, utility local improvement districts, local improvement districts, metropolitan park districts, public transportation benefit areas, housing authorities, solid waste collection districts, county rail districts, lake management districts, or any economic or industrial development districts.

### Classifications

Special purpose districts are classified into three categories determined by the amount and source of revenues. These categories define audit planning and scheduling, auditing procedures and levels of testing, billing procedures, and audit reporting requirements.

#### **Category 1 Districts**

All special purpose districts, regardless of type, which either:

- a. Had more than \$500,000 of revenues in any year being audited, or
- b. Received \$25,000 or more of direct or indirect federal assistance in any year being audited.

Category 1 districts are audited individually and each receives its own audit report. The Category 1 districts associated with the county's financial statements are:

Hospital District No. 1 Hospital District No. 2 Public Utility District No. 1 Klickitat County Port District

#### Category 2 Districts

All special purpose districts which had total revenues of at least \$25,000 but not more than \$500,000 in any year being audited and which received less than \$25,000 in direct and indirect federal assistance. Additionally, the following types of districts will be considered as Category 2 even if their annual revenues are less than \$25,000:

Public Utility Districts
Public Hospital Districts
Irrigation Districts
Water Districts
Sewer Districts
Water/Sewer Districts
Port Districts

These types of districts have greater audit risks than other types of districts of equivalent size because they typically received a significant amount of their revenues from locally generated sources rather than through taxes and assessments.

Category 2 districts are audited as a group using limited auditing procedures. A single, combined audit report is issued for the Category 2 districts. The Category 2 districts associated with the county's financial statements are:

North Dalles Irrigation District White Salmon Irrigation District Cemetery District No. 1 Fire District No. 1 Fire District No. 3 Fire District No. 7 Fire District No. 9 Fire District No. 14

#### **Category 3 Districts**

All special purpose districts which had total revenues of less than \$25,000 in any year being audited except those districts specifically listed in Category 2.

Category 3 districts are audited only at the county level using auditing procedures appropriate for a trust and agency fund. No separate audit reports are issued for Category 3 districts. The Category 3 districts associated with the county's financial statements are:

Cemetery District No. 2

Cemetery District No. 3

Drainage District No. 1

Fire District No. 2

Fire District No. 4

Fire District No. 5

Fire District No. 6

Fire District No. 8

Fire District No. 10

Fire District No. 11

Fire District No. 12

Fire District No. 13

### Independent Auditor's Report On Supplementary Information Schedule Of Federal Financial Assistance

Board of Commissioners Klickitat County Goldendale, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of Klickitat County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 1996. These financial statements are the responsibility of the county's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements of Klickitat County taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The information in the schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Brian Sonntag State Auditor

### Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With The General Requirements Applicable To Federal Financial Assistance Programs

Board of Commissioners Klickitat County Goldendale, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of Klickitat County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 1996.

We have applied procedures to test the county's compliance with the following requirements applicable to its federal financial assistance programs, which are identified in the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995:

- Political activity
- Davis-Bacon Act
- Civil rights
- Federal financial reports
- Allowable costs/cost principles
- Drug-Free Workplace Act
- Administrative requirements, including subrecipient monitoring

The following requirements were determined to be not applicable to its federal financial assistance programs:

- Cash management
- Relocation assistance and real property acquisition

Our procedures were limited to the applicable procedures described in the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) *Compliance Supplement for Single Audits of State and Local Governments* or alternative procedures. Our procedures were substantially less in scope than an audit, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on the county's compliance with the requirements listed in the preceding paragraph. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

With respect to the items tested, the results of those procedures disclosed no material instances of noncompliance with the requirements listed in the second paragraph of this report. With respect to items not tested, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the county had not complied, in all material respects, with those requirements.

This report is intended for the information of management and the board of commissioners and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag State Auditor

### Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Specific Requirements Applicable To Major Federal Financial Assistance Programs

Board of Commissioners Klickitat County Goldendale, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of Klickitat County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 1996.

We also have audited the county's compliance with the requirements applicable to its major federal financial assistance program, which is identified in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995. Those requirements include:

- types of services allowed or unallowed
- special tests and provisions related to vouchers, right-of-way, extensions, and sampling and testing (CFDA 20.205) as described in the OMB Compliance Supplement for Single Audits of State and Local Governments
- claims for advances and reimbursements
- · and amounts claimed or used for matching

The management of the county is responsible for the county's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with those requirements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance with those requirements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and OMB Circular A-128, *Audits of State and Local Governments*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the requirements referred to above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the county's compliance with those requirements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, Klickitat County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to in the second paragraph of this report that are applicable to its major federal financial assistance program for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995.

This report is intended for the information of management and the board of commissioners and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag State Auditor

# Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure Used In Administering Federal Financial Assistance Programs

Board of Commissioners Klickitat County Goldendale, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of Klickitat County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 1996. We have also audited their compliance with requirements applicable to major federal financial assistance programs and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 1996.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the provisions of OMB Circular A-128, *Audits of State and Local Governments*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and about whether the county complied with laws and regulations, noncompliance with which would be material to a major federal financial assistance program.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the county's internal control structure in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and on compliance with requirements applicable to major federal assistance programs and to report on the internal control structure in accordance with OMB Circular A-128. This report addresses our consideration of internal control structure policies and procedures relevant to compliance with requirements applicable to federal financial assistance programs. We have addressed internal control structure policies and procedures relevant to our audit of the financial statements in a separate report dated December 16, 1996.

The management of the county is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that:

- Assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition.
- Transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- Federal financial assistance programs are managed in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure, errors, irregularities, or instances of noncompliance may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

For the purpose of this report, we have classified the significant internal control structure policies and procedures used in administering federal financial assistance programs in the following categories:

### • Accounting Controls

- Cash receipts
- Cash disbursements
- Purchasing and receiving
- Payroll
- Inventory control
- Property, plant, and equipment
- General ledger

#### • General Requirements

- Political activity
- Davis-Bacon Act
- Civil rights
- Federal financial reports
- Allowable costs/cost principles
- Drug-Free Workplace Act
- Administrative requirements, including subrecipient monitoring

#### • Specific Requirements

- Types of services
- Special requirements

#### • Claims For Advances And Reimbursements

#### • Amounts Claimed Or Used For Matching

For all of the applicable internal control structure categories listed above, we obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and determined whether they have been placed in operation, and we assessed control risk.

The following internal control structure categories were determined to be insignificant to federal financial assistance programs:

#### Accounting Controls

- Receivables
- Accounts payable

#### • General Requirements

- Cash management
- Relocation assistance and real property acquisition

#### • Specific Requirements

- Eligibility
- Matching, level of effort, earmarking
- Reporting

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, the county expended 66 percent of its total federal financial assistance under a major federal financial assistance program.

We performed tests of controls, as required by OMB Circular A-128, to evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of internal control structure policies and procedures that we considered relevant to preventing or detecting material noncompliance with specific requirements, general requirements, and requirements governing claims for advances and reimbursements, and amounts claimed or used for matching that are applicable to the county's major federal financial assistance program, which is identified in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance. Our procedures were less in scope than would be necessary to render an opinion on these internal control structure policies and procedures. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Our consideration of the internal control structure policies and procedures used in administering federal financial assistance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with laws and regulations that would be material to a federal financial assistance program may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of management and the board of commissioners and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag State Auditor